

## Characterization of the hCG Variants Recognized by Different hCG Immunoassays: An Important Step Toward Standardization of hCG Measurements

Ann M. Gronowski<sup>1,2\*</sup> and David G. Grenache<sup>3</sup>

Human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG)<sup>4</sup> is a dimeric glycoprotein hormone consisting of noncovalently associated  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits. It is produced principally by the trophoblastic cells of the placenta but may also be produced by nontrophoblastic tissues including normal pituitary and neoplastic cells. Therefore, qualitative and quantitative measurement of hCG is clinically useful in the diagnosis of normal and abnormal pregnancy and the management of patients with gestational trophoblastic disease (GTD) and other hCG-secreting malignancies.

The measurement of hCG is complicated by its molecular heterogeneity in both structure and carbohydrate content. Multiple molecular variants of hCG are present in serum and urine and include intact hCG, nicked hCG (hCGn), free  $\beta$ -subunit hCG (hCG $\beta$ ), nicked free  $\beta$ -subunit hCG (hCG $\beta$ n), free  $\alpha$ -subunit hCG (hCG $\alpha$ ), and the  $\beta$ -core fragment hCG (hCG $\beta$ cf). In addition, hCG is differentially glycosylated in various tissues, resulting in a range of molecular forms from hypoglycosylated to hyperglycosylated. So-called hyperglycosylated hCG (hCG-h) is probably the best known of these glycosylated variants.

This molecular heterogeneity has led to numerous problems, including use of a nonstandard nomenclature for hCG variants, absence of purified standards to achieve accurate calibration, and discrepant characterizations of hCG variants that are recognized by different hCG immunoassays. This, in turn, has led to misunderstandings about which hCG immunoassays are

appropriately used in different clinical scenarios. For example, although intact hCG is the principal variant in serum throughout most of pregnancy (1), hCG-h accounts for a relatively higher proportion of total hCG in the first several weeks of gestation (2), and hCG $\beta$  is present in much lower abundance (3). Although most trophoblastic tumors produce intact hCG, unusually increased concentrations of the other hCG variants (particularly hCG $\beta$ ) can also be present (4). Finally, among germ cell tumors that produce hCG, 20%–40% produce hCG $\beta$  alone (5).

In 1994, in an effort to address some of these problems, the IFCC established a Working Group for the Standardization of hCG (6). The group was able to prepare and characterize new standards for 6 of the hCG variants described above (7). Additionally, they assigned nomenclature and quantified the standards using substance (molar) concentration (8). In 2001, the WHO Expert Committee on Biological Standardization approved the 6 standards as the 1st WHO International Reference Reagents (IRRs).

Currently, hCG immunoassays are calibrated against the 3rd or 4th WHO International Standards (IS 75/537 or IS 75/589), which are impure mixtures of hCG that were assigned units based on bioactivity (70  $\mu$ g, corresponding to 650 IU). In contrast, the IRRs are highly purified with only IRR 99/642 (hCGn) containing <1.4% of hCG, hCG $\alpha$ , and fragments of hCG $\beta$  (7). Clinically, the most important IRR is 99/688 (hCG), and it contains no hCGn and only negligible amounts of the other variants (7). It was recommended that the IRRs should be used to investigate and characterize the analytical specificity of hCG immunoassays (9).

In this issue of *Clinical Chemistry*, Sturgeon et al., on behalf of the IFCC Working Group for Standardization of hCG, report their use of the new IRRs to do exactly that (10). As part of the UK National External Quality Assessment Service, Sturgeon et al. sent specimens containing the IRRs to 150–240 different laboratories over a 6-year period (2001–2007) and determined the analytical specificity of 14 immunometric assays and 2 RIAs for hCG.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pathology and Immunology and <sup>2</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO; <sup>3</sup> Department of Pathology, University of Utah Health Sciences Center, Salt Lake City, UT.

\* Address correspondence to this author at: Washington University School of Medicine, Department of Pathology and Immunology, Box 8118, 660 S. Euclid, St. Louis, MO 63110. Fax 314-362-1461; e-mail gronowski@wustl.edu.

Received May 5, 2009; accepted May 14, 2009.

Previously published online at DOI: 10.1373/clinchem.2009.129205

<sup>4</sup> Nonstandard abbreviations: hCG, human chorionic gonadotropin; GTD, gestational trophoblastic disease; hCGn, nicked hCG; hCG $\beta$ , free  $\beta$ -subunit hCG; hCG $\beta$ n, nicked free  $\beta$ -subunit hCG; hCG $\alpha$ , free  $\alpha$ -subunit hCG; hCG $\beta$ cf,  $\beta$ -core fragment hCG; hCG-h, hyperglycosylated hCG; IRR, International Reference Reagent; IS, International Standard.

They report their results as mean recoveries for each IRR (IRR 99/688 against IS 75/589 and 4 other IRRs against 99/688) by method. As one would hope, the mean method recovery of IS 75/589 was 107%, indicating that hCG immunoassays recognize well the material on which they are currently calibrated. By comparison, the mean method recovery of IRR 99/688 was 39% higher, which is likely due to its substantially greater purity. The larger between-method CV (12%) observed for IRR 99/688 than for IS 75/589 (9%) is a function of the impurity of IS 75/589, and between-method variation should decrease with the use of a more pure standard. Indeed, when IRR 99/688 was used to calibrate 9 hCG assays, between-method variation improved significantly (11). Thus, if IRR 99/688 is used for hCG assay standardization, it will clearly influence not only the measured hCG concentrations but also the relationship between hCG immunoassays.

Sturgeon et al. also report wide variability in hCG variant detection among hCG immunoassays, even among those assays that detect a specific hCG variant (10). Whereas all 16 assays detected 99/642 (hCGn), the mean recovery was 89%. Twelve assays detected hCG $\beta$  and hCG $\beta$ n, with mean recoveries of 132% and 86%, respectively, and only 6 assays detected hCG $\beta$ cf, with a mean recovery of 63%.

Similar studies have been published but have not used the IRRs (11, 12), although the latter study did use 99/688. Some notable differences between these studies can be found. Sturgeon et al. report that the Roche Elecsys (total) hCG and Ortho Vitros ECI methods detected all 4 hCG variants, whereas Cole et al. (11) reported that these methods failed to detect hCG $\beta$ cf. In addition, the ability of the Dade-Behring Dimension to detect only hCG and hCGn is described by Sturgeon et al., whereas Cole et al. (11) reported that this method also recognized hCG $\beta$ . The reason for these differences is unclear. Material differences between the hCG variants and/or their concentrations as used in these studies is 1 possible explanation. Alternatively, the regulation of in vitro diagnostic tests varies between the US and the European Union, and so the hCG assays used in these studies may not be equivalent. Also, the practice of referring to a manufacturer's instrument rather than identifying the name of the hCG assay (a common source of confusion), may result in inappropriate comparisons.

The impact that hCG standardization could have on the clinical community is particularly evident by the wide variability seen in the detection of hCG $\beta$ . None of the hCG immunoassays demonstrated equimolarity (defined as a recovery of 90%–110%) of recognition of this variant, and instead showed a wide variation in recovery (68%–245%). As discussed earlier, hCG has clinical utility as a tumor marker, and in some malig-

nancies hCG $\beta$  is the principal variant. Patients who are being monitored by following hCG concentrations are at risk of mismanagement if different assays are used over time or the assay used for measuring hCG fails to detect hCG $\beta$ . Likewise, assay standardization will be exceptionally important as evidenced-based guidelines are developed for the use of tumor markers such as hCG.

Only 6 of the hCG immunoassays were able to detect hCG $\beta$ cf and did so with high variation (CV 57%). hCG $\beta$ cf is the most abundant hCG variant present in urine after 5 weeks of gestation (13), and although the clinical importance of quantitative hCG measurements from urine is unclear, laboratories often use them when investigating discrepant results between qualitative urine and quantitative serum hCG tests. Assays that fail to recognize this variant should not be used for this purpose.

As good investigations should, this one raises additional questions. (a) When it comes to hCG immunoassays, what is actually being measured? Clearly, use of the available IRRs provides some insight. Yet we know there are hCG variants (e.g., hCG-h) for which purified material does not exist. In addition, there are likely hCG variants that we do not yet know about. (b) Which variants should we be measuring? It is clear that a "one size fits all" approach is likely insufficient for all clinical situations, and there are those who advocate for the development and utilization of hCG $\beta$ -specific assays (14). (c) Might there also be a need for assays specific for hCG-h and hCG $\beta$ cf? (d) How should hCG results be reported? When asked to report results as either "intact hCG" or "total  $\beta$  hCG," 9% of laboratories that used hCG assays that are unable to detect hCG $\beta$  inappropriately reported their results as "total  $\beta$  hCG," and 13% that used assays that could detect hCG $\beta$  reported results as "intact hCG" (15). (e) Should results of hCG tests include a description of exactly which variants are detected? At the very least, laboratories should be familiar with what hCG variants are detected by their hCG immunoassays. (f) Should we abandon the reporting of hCG results in activity units and use substance concentration instead? The use of substance concentration would allow the direct comparison of hCG results between methods and between variants but would present a considerable educational hurdle.

Clearly, this type of characterization is an important step in establishing standardization of hCG measurements. Continued progress in this area will result in less confusion regarding the interpretation of hCG results and will ultimately improve patient care.

**Author Contributions:** All authors confirmed they have contributed to the intellectual content of this paper and have met the following 3 requirements: (a) significant contributions to the conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; (b) drafting or revising the article for intellectual content; and (c) final approval of the published article.

**Authors' Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest:** Upon manuscript submission, all authors completed the Disclosures of Potential Conflict of Interest form. Potential conflicts of interest:

**Employment or Leadership:** None declared.

**Consultant or Advisory Role:** A.M. Gronowski, FDA Department of Health & Human Services.

**Stock Ownership:** None declared.

**Honoraria:** None declared.

**Research Funding:** None declared.

**Expert Testimony:** None declared.

**Role of Sponsor:** The funding organizations played no role in the design of study, choice of enrolled patients, review and interpretation of data, or preparation or approval of manuscript.

### References

1. Cole LA. Immunoassay of human chorionic gonadotropin, its free subunits, and metabolites. *Clin Chem* 1997;43:2233–43.
2. Cole LA, Khanlian SA, Sutton JM, Davies S, Stephens ND. Hyperglycosylated hCG (invasive trophoblast antigen, ITA) a key antigen for early pregnancy detection. *Clin Biochem* 2003;36:647–55.
3. Thomas CM, Reijnders FJ, Segers MF, Doesburg WH, Rolland R. Human choriogonadotropin (hCG): comparisons between determinations of intact hCG, free hCG beta-subunit, and "total" hCG + beta in serum during the first half of high-risk pregnancy. *Clin Chem* 1990;36:651–5.
4. Khazaeli MB, Buchina ES, Pattillo RA, Soong SJ, Hatch KD. Radioimmunoassay of free beta-subunit of human chorionic gonadotropin in diagnosis of high-risk and low-risk gestational trophoblastic disease. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1989;160:444–9.
5. Saller B, Clara R, Spottl G, Siddle K, Mann K. Testicular cancer secretes intact human choriogonadotropin (hCG) and its free beta-subunit: evidence that hCG (+hCG-beta) assays are the most reliable in diagnosis and follow-up. *Clin Chem* 1990;36:234–9.
6. Sturgeon CM, Ellis AR. Standardization of FSH, LH, and hCG: current position and future prospects. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 2007;260–262:301–9.
7. Birken S, Berger P, Bidart JM, Weber M, Bristow A, Norman R, et al. Preparation and characterization of new WHO reference reagents for human chorionic gonadotropin and metabolites. *Clin Chem* 2003;49:144–54.
8. Bristow A, Berger P, Bidart J-M, Birken S, Norman R, Stenman U-H, Sturgeon C, on behalf of the IFCC Working Group on human chorionic gonadotropin. Establishment, value assignment, and characterization of new who reference reagents for six molecular forms of human chorionic gonadotropin. *Clin Chem* 2005;51:177–82.
9. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Expert Committee on Biological Standardization: fifty-second report. Geneva: WHO; 2004. 232 p. (WHO technical report series; 924)
10. Sturgeon CM, Berger P, Bidart J-M, Birken S, Burns C, Norman RJ, Stenman U-H. Differences in recognition of the 1st WHO International Reference Reagents for hCG-related isoforms by diagnostic immunoassays for human chorionic gonadotropin. *Clin Chem* 2009;55:1484–91.
11. Cole LA, Sutton JM, Higgins TN, Cembrowski GS. Between-method variation in human chorionic gonadotropin test results. *Clin Chem* 2004;50:874–82.
12. Cole LA, Shahabi S, Butler SA, Mitchell H, Newlands ES, Behrman HR, Verrill HL. Utility of commonly used commercial human chorionic gonadotropin immunoassays in the diagnosis and management of trophoblastic diseases. *Clin Chem* 2001;47:308–15.
13. Kato Y, Braunstein GD. Beta-core fragment is a major form of immunoreactive urinary chorionic gonadotropin in human pregnancy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1988;66:1197–201.
14. Stenman UH. Standardization of assays for human chorionic gonadotropin. *Clin Chem* 2004;50:798–800.
15. Cao ZT, Rej R. Are laboratories reporting serum quantitative hCG results correctly? *Clin Chem* 2008;54:761–4.